WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

·	V.	ONDER O	
Rolando Barrios-Vasquez		Case Number:	13-7049m
was present	and was represented by counsel. I conclude tr the detention of the defendant pending tria	by a preponderance of the	nas been submitted to the Court. Defendant evidence the defendant is a serious flight
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	inforcement contact by flee	ing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	yea	rs imprisonment.
The Court at the	Court incorporates by reference the materia time of the hearing in this matter, except as	I findings of the Pretrial Ser noted in the record.	vices Agency which were reviewed by the
	CON	CLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendan No condition or combination of condition		e appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS	S REGARDING DETENTION	DN
in a correction pending appoint order of a co	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable eal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasourt of the United States or on request of an adeliver the defendant to the United States Ma	e, from persons awaiting or onable opportunity for priva attorney for the Governmen	te consultation with defense counsel. On t, the person in charge of the corrections appearance in connection with a court

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>2/7/13</u>

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge